ANNOUNCEMENT re. GOVERNMENT BUSINESS FOR 22ND AND 23RD AUGUST, 1988

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): With your permission, Sir, I rise to announce that Government Business in this House on Monday, the 22nd and Tuesday, the 23rd August, 1988:

(1) Consideration and passing of the Delhi Rent Control (Amendment) Bill, 1988.

(2) Discussion on the Resolution seeking disapproval of the National Security (Amendment) Ordinance, 1988 and consideration and passing of the Bill, as passed by Lok Sabha, in replacement thereof.

(3) Consideration and return of the following Bills, as passed by Lok Sabha:

(a) The Punjab Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1988.

(b) The Tamil Nadu Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1988.

RESOLUTION REGARDING GENERATION OF INCREASE GROWTH RATE FOR SPEEDY DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTRY—CONTD.

SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, as I pointed out last time, the reason why I brought this Resolution before the House is two-fold: Number one: The last 40 years have produced very unimpressive economic performance and which performance we cannot now afford to have in the future; and number two: Since the Eighth Five-Year Plan is about to be formulated, the wisdom of this House could be suitably taken benefit of by the Minister of Planning who happens to be present in the House. There should be no two opinions. I am not saying that we have made no progress at all; that nobody can say; but we cannot get satisfaction out of the fact that some progress is being made. What we have to see is, whether the progress is commensurate with India's resources and ability whether by this performance by this progress, India's position at the international level, India's position in the world, is being maintained. This is what we have to see. What do we see when we look at the statistics? I do not want to bore this House with a lot of economic statistics I have been a Professor of Economic for about twelve-thirteen years. I can give a lecture on economic statistics. But that is not my purpose here. My purpose here is to clearly point out the direction in which we should be moving. Have we made progress in keeping with the resources of the country in keeping with the endowments of this country?

Let us look at some statistics, however, to get an idea. In 1955, in terms of *per capita* income, India was ranked 105th in the world, among 165 countries. Of course, in regard to *per capita* income, there is a problem as to whether you use this exchange or that exchange rate. But the fact of the matter is, whatever the United Nations has done, following that procedure, among 165 countries, the position of India in terms of *per capita* income was 105th. If you consider India's position today—the number of countries has increased now—it is 161st. Therefore in *per capita* terms, India's position has actually slided. Its ranking has gone further down. India was at a higher *per capita* level inernationally in 1947 compared to now. In 40 years, we have been overtaken by a large number of countries. Even smaller countries like Hong Kong have overtaken us. Therefore, this is one index. Just because people now have colour television and they did not have television in 1947 does not mean that we have made progress. Look all around us and see how much progress others have made. This is one index.

Secondly, the volume of exports this country makes every year.